

of the required reserve transfer and earnings for that month and for the prior twelve-month period. The notice must also provide an explanation of why the current month's required reserve transfer exceeded earnings for that month.

(c) *Asset and liability management.*

(1) In conducting the interest rate sensitivity analysis set forth in § 704.8(e)(1)(i), a wholesale corporate credit union must limit its risk exposure to levels that do not result, at any time, in an MVPE ratio below .75 percent or a decline in MVPE of more than 35 percent.

(2) A wholesale corporate credit union must obtain, at its expense, an annual third-party review of its asset and liability management modeling system.

[FR Doc. 96-18453 Filed 7-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Parts 91, 121, 127, and 135

[Docket No. 28577; Notice No. 96-4]

RIN 2120-AG11

Special Flight Rules in the Vicinity of the Rocky Mountain National Park

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: This document announces an extension of the comment period on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), which proposes to establish a Special Federal Aviation Regulation to preserve the natural quiet of Rocky Mountain National Park from any potential adverse impact from aircraft-based sightseeing overflights. This action is being taken to rectify the discrepancy of the comment period closing date between the NPRM published in the Federal Register and the closing date of the NPRM located in the FAA Rules Docket.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 19, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this NPRM should be mailed, in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket (AGC-200), Docket No. 28577, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591. Comments may also be sent electronically to the Rules Docket by using the following Internet

address: nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov. Comments must be marked Docket No. 28577. Comments may be examined in the Rules Docket in Room 915G on weekdays between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., except on Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Notice No. 96-4 was placed on immediate display at the Federal Register on May 10, 1996, and published on May 15, 1996 (61 FR 24582). This Notice, as published, provided for a 90 day comment period to close on August 13, 1996. The FAA Rules Docket inadvertently stamped the wrong date on the copy of the notice located in the docket room at FAA Headquarters that comments to Notice No. 96-4 must be received on or before August 18, 1996, which falls on a Sunday. To afford all interested persons, especially those who relied on the closing date of the comment period provided for in the FAA Docket, the opportunity to comment on the proposal, the FAA extends the comment period, as published in the Federal Register, to coincide with the closing date of the comment period as provided for in the FAA Docket. Therefore, comments on this Notice should be received on or before August 19, 1996.

Extension of Comment Period

The comment period closing date on Notice No. 96-4, Special Flight Rules in the Vicinity of the Rocky Mountain National Park, is hereby extended to August 19, 1996.

Issued in Washington, DC on July 17, 1996.

Harold W. Becker,
*Acting Program Director for Air Traffic,
Airspace Management.*

[FR Doc. 96-18552 Filed 7-22-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

19 CFR Part 134

RIN 1515-AB61

Country of Origin Marking Requirements for Frozen Imported Produce

AGENCY: Customs Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; solicitation of comments.

SUMMARY: In response to comments received concerning an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking published by Customs on February 2, 1995, regarding

the need for country of origin marking requirements for frozen imported produce, and in further consideration of Customs duty to prescribe marking rules for imported merchandise when necessary, Customs proposes to amend its regulations to require that the country of origin of imported produce be marked on the front panel of packages of frozen produce in order for the marking to comply with the statutory requirement that it be in a "conspicuous place". This amendment is proposed to ensure a uniform standard for the country of origin marking of frozen produce.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 23, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments (preferably in triplicate) may be addressed to the Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, Franklin Court, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20229. Comments submitted may be inspected at the Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, U.S. Customs Service, Franklin Court, 1099 14th Street, NW., Suite 4000, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cohen, Special Classification and Marking Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings (202-482-6980).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 304 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1304), provides that, unless excepted, every article of foreign origin (or its container) imported into the United States shall be marked in a conspicuous place as legibly, indelibly, and permanently as the nature of the article (or its container) will permit, in such a manner as to indicate to the ultimate purchaser in the United States the English name of the country of origin of the article. Failure to mark an article in accordance with the requirements of 19 U.S.C. 1304 may result in the levy of an additional duty of ten percent *ad valorem*. Part 134, Customs Regulations (19 CFR Part 134), implements the country of origin marking requirements and exceptions of 19 U.S.C. 1304. This document concerns the correct country of origin marking for packages of frozen imported produce pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1304 and 19 CFR part 134.

Customs Ruling and Court Action

On May 9, 1988, Norcal/Crosetti Foods, Incorporated, and other California packers of domestically-grown produce requested a ruling from Customs concerning what constituted a